



MG+M Obtains a Defense Verdict in a Product Defect Case

April 27, 2020

MG+M Partner Meghan Senter obtained a defense verdict in favor of our clients—a large home-improvement retailer and a leading paint manufacturer—in a product defect case. Plaintiffs claimed that various problems they experienced when painting their home were due to defects in the paint. At trial, Meghan successfully elicited crucial admissions from plaintiffs regarding their failure to follow the paint's clear application instructions. Additionally, through her witness and separately through plaintiffs' concessions, Meghan established that issues with their contractor's drywall texture work were the likely cause of plaintiffs' damages. Agreeing that plaintiffs failed to meet their burden to show a defect, the court entered judgment in favor of MG+M's clients, saving not only the cost of a verdict, but also attorney's fees and costs, which are recoverable under Louisiana law.

mgmlaw.com

Boston | Boston - State Street | Chicago | Dallas | Hattiesburg | Irvine | Jackson | Los Angeles | Madison County/St. Louis | Miami | New Jersey | New Orleans | New York | Providence | San Francisco | Walnut Creek | Wilmington

Attorney Advertising. This material is for general informational purposes only and does not represent our advice as to any particular set of facts; nor does it represent any undertaking to keep recipients advised of all legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. © 2025 Manning Gross + Massenburg LLP