



Brian D. Gross Discusses PFAS-Related Federal Litigation in *Legal Newsline* Article

January 31, 2022

In a [Legal Newsline article](#), MG+M Litigation Partner [Brian D. Gross](#) discusses ongoing federal multidistrict litigation involving manufacturers of firefighting foam that can release trace amounts of per-and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS).

In their arguments against thousands of lawsuits over PFAS contamination, foam makers are pointing to federal laws and regulations requiring their customers—military and civilian airports—to use foam that can release PFAS compounds. Gross explains in the article how this requirement may strengthen the manufacturers' argument.

“The defense is basically, ‘The government made me do it. I didn't have a choice. There was no wiggle room,’” he says. “To the extent that there is migration of PFAS from the firefighting foam, these airports didn't have a choice but to use this PFAS-containing firefighting foam.”

As for the individual plaintiffs, Gross notes the difficulty of proving specific causation, or that a specific manufacturer's chemical caused their injuries, saying, “PFAS is ubiquitous—there are numerous potential sources of exposure. It makes specific causation difficult.”

Gross defends corporations in toxic-tort litigation and is accomplished in the defense of conventional and novel PFAS claims.

[Read the full article.](#)

mgmlaw.com

Boston | Boston - State Street | Chicago | Dallas | Hattiesburg | Irvine | Jackson | Los Angeles | Madison County/St. Louis | Miami | New Jersey | New Orleans | New York | Providence | San Francisco | Walnut Creek | Wilmington

Attorney Advertising. This material is for general informational purposes only and does not represent our advice as to any particular set of facts; nor does it represent any undertaking to keep recipients advised of all legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. © 2025 Manning Gross + Massenburg LLP